arXiv:1704.02835v1 [math.CV] 10 Apr 2017

INVARIANT HOLOMORPHIC DISCS IN SOME NON-CONVEX DOMAINS

FLORIAN BERTRAND AND HERVÉ GAUSSIER

ABSTRACT. We give a description of complex geodesics and we study the structure of stationary discs in some non-convex domains for which complex geodesics are not unique.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The theory developed by L.Lempert in his seminal paper [4] offers a complete understanding of the complex geometry of bounded smooth strongly convex domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$: every such domain admits a singular foliation through any point by images of holomorphic discs centered at the point, these discs being infinitesimal extremal for the Kobayashi metric and stationary. Moreover, these discs are complex geodesics, are smooth up to the boundary, and are isolated. Some examples of strictly pseudoconvex non-convex domains with locally non-isolated extremal discs are presented in [5]. The aim of this article is to describe precisely (infinitesimal) extremal discs, complex geodesics (Theorem 1), and to study the structure of stationary discs (Theorem 2), for those domains.

For $r > 0$ we denote by Δ_r the disc centered at the origin of radius r in $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\Delta = \Delta_1$ be the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a domain. The *Kobayashi pseudometric* K_Ω at $p \in \Omega$ and $v \in T_p\Omega$ is defined by

$$K_\Omega(p,v) = \inf\{r > 0 \mid \exists\, f : \Delta \to \Omega \text{ holomorphic}, f(0) = p, f'(0) = v/r\}$$

and the *Kobayashi pseudodistance* d_Ω is defined, for $p, q \in \Omega$, as its integrated pseudodistance

$$d_\Omega(p,q) = \inf\left\{\int_0^1 K_\Omega(\gamma(t), \dot\gamma(t))\, dt \mid \gamma : [0,1] \to \Omega,\ \gamma(0) = p, \gamma(1) = q\right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all piecewise smooth $\mathcal{C}^1$ curves. When d_Ω is a distance, the domain Ω is called *Kobayashi hyperbolic*. Recall that in the case of the unit disc, then K_Δ and d_Δ are respectively the Poincaré metric and the Poincaré distance. We refer to [3] for the definitions and the main properties of the Kobayashi pseudometric, pseudodistance and of hyperbolic spaces. Following [9, 4], we may define complex geodesics and extremal discs as follows:

Definition 1.1. Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a Kobayashi hyperbolic domain and let $f : \Delta \to \Omega$ be a holomorphic map, also called holomorphic disc.

(i) The disc f is an *infinitesimal extremal disc for the pair* $(p,v) \in \Omega \times T_p\Omega$ if $f(0) = p$, $f'(0) = \lambda v$ with $\lambda > 0$ and if $g : \Delta \to \Omega$ is holomorphic and such that $g(0) = p$, $g'(0) = \mu v$ with $\mu > 0$, then $\mu \leq \lambda$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32F45, 32Q45.

Key words and phrases. Kobayashi metric, extremal disc, complex geodesic, stationary disc.

Research of the two authors was partially supported by the CEDRE Grant 35398TK.

(ii) The disc f is an *infinitesimal complex geodesic* if $K_\Omega(f(\zeta), d_\zeta f(v_0))) = K_\Delta(\zeta, v_0)$ for all $\zeta \in \Delta$ and $v_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.
(iii) The disc f is an *extremal disc for the points* $p, q \in \Omega$ if $f(0) = p$ and $f(\zeta) = q$ for some $\zeta \in \Delta$ and if $g : \Delta \to \Omega$ is holomorphic and such that $g(0) = p$ and $g(\zeta') = q$ then $|\zeta| \leq |\zeta'|$.
(iv) The disc f is a *complex geodesic* if f is an isometry for the relative Kobayashi distances, namely $d_\Omega(f(\zeta), f(\zeta')) = d_\Delta(\zeta, \zeta')$ for all $\zeta, \zeta' \in \Delta$.

Note that in case the domain Ω is taut, then for any pair $(p, v) \in \Omega \times T_p\Omega$ there exists an infinitesimal extremal disc for (p, v). The question of the existence of complex geodesics is a difficult question. It was completely solved for bounded, smooth, strongly convex domains by L.Lempert in [4]. In case Ω is bounded and convex, according to to H.L.Royden and P.M.Wong [6] (see also [1] Theorem 2.6.19), any (infinitesimal) extremal disc is a complex geodesic. Such a domain being taut, this implies the existence of complex geodesics passing through any point in any direction in a bounded convex domain. Moreover, in such domains f is an infinitesimal complex geodesic if and only if f is a complex geodesic (see [6] and also [1] Corollary 2.6.20).

We recall that a disc $f : \overline{\Delta} \to \mathbb{C}^n$, holomorphic in Δ and continuous up to $\partial\Delta$, is *attached* to a real hypersurface $M = \{\rho = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ if $f(\partial\Delta) \subset M$. Following [4], such a disc is *stationary* for M if there exists a continuous function $c : \partial\Delta \to \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\zeta \mapsto \zeta c(\zeta)\partial\rho(f(\zeta))$, defined on $\partial\Delta$, extends holomorphically on Δ. Equivalently, following [7], f is *stationary* for M if there exists a holomorphic lift $\boldsymbol{f} = (f, \tilde{f})$ of f to the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{C}^n$, continuous up to $\partial\Delta$ and such that $\boldsymbol{f}(\zeta) \in \mathcal{N}M(\zeta)$ for all $\zeta \in \partial\Delta$, where

$$\mathcal{N}M(\zeta) = \{(z, \tilde{z}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} \mid z \in M, \tilde{z} \in \zeta N_z^* M \setminus \{0\}\}. \tag{1.1}$$

Here $N_z^* M = span_{\mathbb{R}}\{\partial\rho(z)\}$ denotes the conormal fiber at z of the hypersurface M.

Finally, a set X in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a *holomorphic retract* if there exists a holomorphic map $r : \Omega \to \Omega$ such that $r(\Omega) \subset X$ and $r_{|X} = id_X$.

The following domain was introduced by N.Sibony. It is an example of a domain with non-isolated extremal discs, see [5] by M.-Y.Pang. Let ρ be the real-valued function defined on $\mathbb{C}^2$ by

$$\rho(z, w) = |z|^2 + |w|^2 - \Re e\left(\bar{z}^4 w^2\right) - 1.$$

We fix $0 < \varepsilon < \dfrac{1}{100}$ and we consider the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ defined by

$$\Omega = \{\rho < 0\} \cap \left(\Delta_{1+\varepsilon} \times \Delta_{\frac{1}{4(1+\varepsilon)^3}}\right).$$

One of the main purpose of the paper is to give a precise description of complex geodesics and of extremal discs contained in Ω and close to the disc $f^0 : \Delta \to \Omega$ defined by $f^0(\zeta) = (\zeta, 0)$.

We observe the two following points:

(i) The Levi form of ρ at $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $(Z, W) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\rho((z, w), (Z, W)) &= |Z|^2 + |W|^2 - 8\Re e\left(\bar{z}^3 w \bar{Z} W\right) \\ &= |Z - 4\bar{z}^3 w W|^2 + \left(1 - 16|z|^6|w|^2\right)|W|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For $|z| < 1+\varepsilon$ and $|w| < \dfrac{1}{4(1+\varepsilon)^3}$, the function ρ is strictly plurisubharmonic. Therefore, the domain Ω is strongly pseudoconvex near $\partial\Delta \times \{0\}$.

(ii) The domain Ω is such that $\Omega \subset \Delta \times \mathbb{C}$. Indeed, if $(z,w) \in \Omega$ with $1 < |z|$ then

$$|w|^2(1-|z|^4) \leq |w|^2 - \Re e\left(\bar{z}^4 w^2\right) \leq 1 - |z|^2$$

and thus

$$|w|^2(1+|z|^2) \geq 1$$

which is not possible for $(z,w) \in \Delta_{1+\varepsilon} \times \Delta_{\frac{1}{4(1+\varepsilon)^3}}$. It follows that the set $\Delta \times \{0\}$ is a holomorphic retract of Ω and we denote by $\pi_1 : \Omega \to \Delta$ the holomorphic projection defined by $\pi_1(z,w) = z$.

2. Complex geodesics in Ω

Let $f : \Delta \to \Omega$ be a holomorphic disc of the form $f(\zeta) = (e^{i\theta}\zeta, f_2(\zeta))$, for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. We first observe that by the decreasing property of the Kobayashi distance and metric, we have for $\zeta, \zeta' \in \Delta$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$d_\Delta(\zeta,\zeta') = d_\Delta(\pi_1(f(\zeta)), \pi_1(f(\zeta'))) \leq d_\Omega(f(\zeta), (f(\zeta')) \leq d_\Delta(\zeta,\zeta') \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$K_\Delta(\zeta,v) = K_\Delta(\pi_1(f(\zeta)), \pi_1(d_\zeta f v)) \leq K_\Omega(f(\zeta), d_\zeta f v) \leq K_\Delta(\zeta,v). \tag{2.2}$$

This directly shows

Lemma 2.1. *Any holomorphic disc $f : \Delta \to \Omega$ of the form $f(\zeta) = (e^{i\theta}\zeta, f_2(\zeta))$, for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, is a (infinitesimal) complex geodesic of Ω.*

In particular we consider, as in [5], the disc $f^t(\zeta) = (\zeta, t\zeta^2)$ for $t \geq 0$ small enough. Then the disc f^t is a (infinitesimal) complex geodesic of Ω. We also note that, due to Lemma 2.1, we have

Lemma 2.2. *Any holomorphic disc $f : \Delta \to \mathbb{C}^2$ of the form*

$$f(\zeta) = (e^{i\theta}\zeta, e^{2i\theta}\zeta(a_1 + a_2\zeta + \overline{a_1}\zeta^2)),$$

where $a_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, $a_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ are such that $2|a_1| + |a_2| < \dfrac{1}{4(1+\varepsilon)^3}$, is a (infinitesimal) complex geodesic of Ω.

Proof. We only need to prove that $f(\Delta) \subset \Omega$ when $a_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are small enough. For $\zeta \in \partial\Delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(f(\zeta)) &= |\zeta|^2 + |\zeta(a_1 + a_2\zeta + \overline{a_1}\zeta^2)|^2 - \Re e\left(\bar{\zeta}^4\zeta^2(a_1 + a_2\zeta + \overline{a_1}\zeta^2)^2\right) - 1 \\ &= |a_1 + a_2\zeta + \overline{a_1}\zeta^2|^2 - \Re e\left(\bar{\zeta}^2(a_1 + a_2\zeta + \overline{a_1}\zeta^2)^2\right) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

By the maximum principle, $f(\Delta) \subset \Omega$ provided that a_1 and a_2 are small enough. □

Our main result is the following

Theorem 1. *Fix $z_0 \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ and write $x_0 = e^{-i\theta_0}z_0 \in (0,1)$, $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$.*

(i) Let $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $|z_1| < \dfrac{|z_0|^2}{4(1+\varepsilon)^3}$. Complex geodesics f of Ω such that $f(0) = (0,0)$ and $f(r_0) = (z_0, z_1)$ for some $0 < r_0 < 1$ are exactly of the form

$$f(\zeta) = \left(e^{i\theta_0}\zeta, e^{i2\theta_0}\zeta\left(x_0\left(-b + \overline{b}x_0^2\right) + \left((1-x_0^4)b + \frac{z_1}{z_0^2}\right)\zeta + x_0\left(-\overline{b} + bx_0^2\right)\zeta^2\right)\right) \tag{2.3}$$

for some $b \in \Delta_{\varepsilon_1}$ where $\varepsilon_1 = \dfrac{1}{5}\left(\dfrac{1}{4(1+\varepsilon)^3} - \left|\dfrac{z_1}{z_0^2}\right|\right) > 0$. In particular $r_0 = x_0$.

(ii) The set of complex geodesics f contained in Ω such that $f(0) = (0,0)$ and such that $f(x_0) = (z_0, z_1)$, with $|z_1| < \dfrac{|z_0|^2}{4(1+\varepsilon)^3}$, forms a smooth real manifold of dimension three.

(iii) Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $0 \leq c < \dfrac{1}{16(1+\varepsilon)^3}$. Then any infinitesimal extremal disc for the pair $((0,0),(1,cz_0))$ is a (infinitesimal) complex geodesic.

(iv) Let $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $|z_1| < \dfrac{|z_0|^2}{4(1+\varepsilon)^3}$. Then any extremal disc for the points $(0,0)$ and (z_0, z_1) is a (infinitesimal) complex geodesic.

Proof. We start with Point (i). First note that due to Lemma 2.2, any disc of the form (2.3) is a complex geodesics of Ω. Moreover, it is immediate that for such discs we have $f(0) = (0,0)$ and $f(x_0) = (z_0, z_1)$. Conversely, let $f = (f_1, f_2)$ be a complex geodesic with $f(0) = (0,0)$ and $f(r_0) = (z_0, z_1)$ for some $0 < r_0 < 1$. We have:

$$d_\Delta(0, r_0) = d_\Omega(f(0), f(r_0)) = d_\Omega((0,0),(z_0,z_1)) = d_\Omega(\varphi(0), \varphi(x_0))$$

where $\varphi : \Delta \to \Omega$ is any complex geodesic of the form (2.3). Hence

$$d_\Delta(0, r_0) = d_\Delta(0, x_0) = d_\Delta(0, z_0) = d_\Delta(0, f_1(r_0)).$$

It follows that $f_1 : \Delta \to \Delta$ is a complex geodesic of the Poincaré disc given by $f_1(\zeta) = e^{i\theta}\zeta$ for some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Note that since $f_1(r_0) = z_0$, we have $\theta = \theta_0$ and $r_0 = x_0$. In order to determine f_2, we write for $\zeta \in \Delta$

$$|f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2 - \Re e\left(\bar{f}_1^4 f_2^2\right) - 1 = |\zeta|^2 + |f_2|^2 - \Re e\left(\bar{\zeta}^4 (e^{-i2\theta_0} f_2)^2\right) - 1 < 0.$$

As $|\zeta|$ tends to 1, we obtain:

$$|f_2(\zeta)|^2 \leq \Re e\left(\bar{\zeta}^4 (e^{-i2\theta_0} f_2(\zeta))^2\right), \text{ for every } \zeta \in \partial\Delta.$$

Consider the Fourier expansion of $f_2(\zeta) = \sum_{n\geq 1} a_n \zeta^n = \zeta h(\zeta)$ and set $\tilde{h} = e^{-i2\theta_0} h$. We have

$$|\tilde{h}|^2(\zeta) \leq \Re e\left(\overline{\zeta}\tilde{h}(\zeta)\right)^2 \tag{2.4}$$

for $\zeta \in \partial\Delta$. Setting $g(\zeta) = \overline{\zeta}\tilde{h}(\zeta)$, Equation (2.4) becomes $|g|^2 \leq \Re e g^2$ on $\partial\Delta$. This implies that $g(\zeta)$ is a real-valued for $\zeta \in \partial\Delta$ and hence of the form

$$g(\zeta) = a_1\overline{\zeta} + a_2 + \overline{a_1}\zeta, \text{ for every } \zeta \in \partial\Delta,$$

with $a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore f_2 is given for every $\zeta \in \Delta$ by:

$$f_2(\zeta) = e^{i2\theta_0}\zeta(a_1 + a_2\zeta + \overline{a_1}\zeta^2).$$

Since

$$e^{i2\theta_0}x_0(a_1 + a_2x_0 + \overline{a_1}x_0^2) = z_1$$

a straightforward computation gives $a_1 = x_0\left(-b + \overline{b}x_0^2\right)$ and $a_2 = (1 - x_0^4)b + \dfrac{z_1}{z_0^2}$, where $b \in \mathbb{C}$ is small enough.

We now prove Point (ii). Denote by $\mathcal{G}_0$ the set of complex geodesics of Ω centered at the origin and by $\mathcal{A}$ the set of holomorphic discs in $\mathbb{C}^2$ continuous up to $\partial\Delta$. Define the following set

$$U_{z_0} = \left\{(z_1, b) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \ 5|b| + \left|\frac{z_1}{z_0^2}\right| < \frac{1}{4(1+\varepsilon)^3} \ \text{ and } \ (1 - x_0^4)b + \frac{z_1}{z_0^2} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}.$$

Consider the map $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(z_1, b)(\zeta) = \left(e^{i\theta_0}\zeta, e^{i2\theta_0}\zeta\left(x_0\left(-b + \overline{b}x_0^2\right) + \left((1 - x_0^4)b + \frac{z_1}{z_0^2}\right)\zeta + x_0\left(-\overline{b} + bx_0^2\right)\zeta^2\right)\right).$$

The map $\mathcal{F}$ is smooth, one-to-one and, by Point (i), the set $\mathcal{F}(U_{z_0})$ is included in $\mathcal{G}_0$. Note that the map $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ defined by

$$\mathcal{H}(f) = \left(f_2(z_0), \frac{-1}{1 - x_o^4}\left(\frac{f_2'(0)e^{-i\theta_0}}{z_0} + \overline{f_2'(0)}e^{i\theta_0}z_0\right)\right)$$

is smooth and satisfies $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{H}(f) = f$ for $f \in \mathcal{F}(U_{z_0})$. Moreover for $(z_1, b) \in U_{z_0}$, the differential map $d_{(z_1,b)}\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ at (z_1, b) is given, for every $(Z_1, B) \in T_{(z_1,b)}U_{z_0}$, by:

$$d_{(z_1,b)}\mathcal{F}(Z_1, B)(\zeta) = \left(0, e^{i2\theta_0}\zeta\left(x_0\left(-B + \overline{B}x_0^2\right) + \left((1 - x_0^4)B + \frac{Z_1}{z_0^2}\right)\zeta + x_0\left(-\overline{B} + Bx_0^2\right)\zeta^2\right)\right).$$

Note that $d_{(z_1,b)}\mathcal{F}$ is one-to-one. Therefore the map $\mathcal{F}$ is a smooth diffeomorphism onto its image. It follows that the set $\mathcal{F}(U_{z_0})$ is a smooth real manifold of dimension three in $\mathcal{G}_0$.

We prove Point (iii). Let $f = (f_1, f_2) : \Delta \to \Omega$ be an infinitesimal extremal disc for the pair $((0, 0), (1, cz_0))$ and let $\lambda > 0$ be such that $f'(0) = \lambda(1, cz_0)$. Consider the disc $g : \Delta \to \Omega$

$$g(\zeta) = \left(\zeta, c\zeta(z_0 - (1 + |z_0|^2)\zeta + \overline{z_0}\zeta^2)\right).$$

Note that $g(0) = (0, 0)$ and $g'(0) = (1, cz_0)$. Since f is an infinitesimal extremal disc, we have:

$$1 \leq \lambda = f_1'(0).$$

Moreover since $f_1 : \Delta \to \Delta$ satisfies $f_1(0) = 0$, by the Schwarz Lemma we have $|f_1'(0)| \leq 1$. This proves that $f_1(\zeta) = \zeta$ and therefore, by Lemma 2.1, f is a (infinitesimal) complex geodesic.

Finally, we prove Point (iv). Let $f = (f_1, f_2) : \Delta \to \Omega$ be an extremal disc for the points $(0, 0)$ and (z_0, z_1). Let $\zeta_0 \in \Delta$ be such that $f(\zeta_0) = (z_0, z_1)$. Consider an arbitrary disc $g : \Delta \to \Omega$ of the form (2.3). Since $g(0) = (0, 0)$, $g(x_0) = (z_0, z_1)$ and since f is an extremal disc we have:

$$|\zeta_0| \leq x_0 = |z_0| = |f_1(\zeta_0)|.$$

The Schwarz Lemma implies that $f_1(\zeta) = e^{i\theta}\zeta$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, and therefore f is a complex geodesic by Lemma 2.1.

□

Remark 2.1. *Note that according to Theorem 1 Point (i), there are infinitely many (ranges of) complex geodesics centered at zero and passing through any point of the form (z_0, z_1) where $z_0 \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ and $|z_1| < \dfrac{|z_0|^2}{4(1+\varepsilon)^3}$.*

3. Stationary discs for deformations of Ω

Let $\lambda \geq 0$. Following [5], we consider the domain Ω_λ defined near $\overline{\Delta} \times \{0\}$ by

$$\rho^\lambda = |z|^2 + |w|^2 - \lambda \Re e\left(\bar{z}^4 w^2\right) - 1 < 0.$$

Note that for every $\lambda \geq 0$, the disc $f^0(\zeta) = (\zeta, 0)$ is stationary, with lift $\boldsymbol{f}^0 = (\zeta, 0, 1, 0)$ to the cotangent bundle. For $\lambda << 1$, Ω_λ is a small $\mathcal{C}^2$ deformation of the unit ball in a neighborhood of $\Delta \times \{0\}$, and $\Omega = \Omega_1$. We recall that in the case of the unit ball, lifts of stationary discs that are close to the lift $\boldsymbol{f}^0(\zeta) = (\zeta, 0, 1, 0)$ of f^0 form a smooth manifold of real dimension eight. It might be natural to expect the same result for Ω_λ at least for $\lambda << 1$. The following theorem gives the result for the whole family, except at the domain Ω.

Theorem 2. *Assume that $\lambda \neq 1$. The set of lifts of stationary discs for $\partial\Omega_\lambda$ close to the lift $\boldsymbol{f}^0$ forms a smooth real manifold of dimension eight.*

Proof. For all $\zeta \in \partial\Delta$ the submanifold $\mathcal{N}\partial\Omega_\lambda(\zeta)$ (see Equation (1.1) of real dimension four may be defined near $\boldsymbol{f}^0(\zeta) = (\zeta, 0, 1, 0)$ by four real defining functions $\tilde{\rho^\lambda} = (\rho_1^\lambda, \cdots, \rho_4^\lambda)$ given explicitly by

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1^\lambda(\zeta)(z,w) &= |z|^2 + |w|^2 - \lambda \Re e\,(\bar{z}^4 w^2) - 1 \\ \rho_2^\lambda(\zeta)(z,w) &= \dfrac{i\tilde{z}}{\zeta(\overline{z} - 2\lambda z^3 \overline{w}^2)} - \dfrac{i\zeta\overline{\tilde{z}}}{z - 2\lambda \overline{z}^3 w^2} \\ \rho_3^\lambda(\zeta)(z,w) &= \tilde{w} - \dfrac{\tilde{z}(\overline{w} - \lambda \overline{z}^4 w)}{\overline{z} - 2\lambda z^3 \overline{w}^2} + \overline{\tilde{w}} - \dfrac{\overline{\tilde{z}}(w - \lambda z^4 \overline{w})}{z - 2\lambda \overline{z}^3 w^2} \\ \rho_4^\lambda(\zeta)(z,w) &= i\tilde{w} - \dfrac{i\tilde{z}(\overline{w} - \lambda \overline{z}^4 w)}{\overline{z} - 2\lambda z^3 \overline{w}^2} - i\overline{\tilde{w}} + \dfrac{i\overline{\tilde{z}}(w - \lambda z^4 \overline{w})}{z - 2\lambda \overline{z}^3 w^2}. \end{cases}$$

It follows that a holomorphic disc f is stationary for $\partial\Omega_\lambda$ and admits a lift $\boldsymbol{f} = (f, \tilde{f})$ close to $\boldsymbol{f}^0 = (\zeta, 0, 1, 0)$ if and only if for all $\zeta \in \partial\Delta$

$$\tilde{\rho^\lambda}(\zeta)(\tilde{f}(\zeta)) = 0.$$

In order to solve this nonlinear Riemann-Hilbert problem, we need to evaluate the partial indices and Maslov index of the linearized problem along the disc $\boldsymbol{f}^0 = (\zeta, 0, 1, 0)$, namely of the map

$\boldsymbol{f} \mapsto 2\Re e(\overline{G}\boldsymbol{f})$, where $G(\zeta)$ is the following 4×4 invertible matrix

$$\begin{aligned} G(\zeta) &= \big((\rho^\lambda)_{\overline{z}}(\boldsymbol{f^0}(\zeta)), (\rho^\lambda)_{\overline{w}}(\boldsymbol{f^0}(\zeta)), (\rho^\lambda)_{\overline{\tilde{z}}}(\boldsymbol{f^0}(\zeta)), (\rho^\lambda)_{\overline{\tilde{w}}}(\boldsymbol{f^0}(\zeta))\big) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i\zeta & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & -\zeta + \lambda\zeta^3 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -i\zeta - i\lambda\zeta^3 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

According to J.Globevnik [2], we need to prove that the partial indices of $-\overline{G^{-1}}G$ are greater than or equal to -1. Since permutations of rows and columns change neither the partial indices nor the Maslov index, we work with the following matrix

$$G_1(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} -i & -i\zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\zeta + \lambda\zeta^3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -i\zeta - i\lambda\zeta^3 & -i \end{pmatrix}.$$

A direct computation gives

$$G_1(\zeta)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} i & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\zeta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\dfrac{\overline{\zeta}}{2} & \dfrac{i\overline{\zeta}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \dfrac{1}{2} + \dfrac{\lambda\zeta^2}{2} & \dfrac{i}{2} - \dfrac{i\lambda\zeta^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overline{G_1(\zeta)^{-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} -i & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\dfrac{\zeta}{2} & -\dfrac{i\zeta}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \dfrac{1}{2} + \dfrac{\lambda\overline{\zeta}^2}{2} & -\dfrac{i}{2} + \dfrac{i\lambda\overline{\zeta}^2}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and thus

$$-\overline{G_1(\zeta)^{-1}}G_1(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\zeta^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda\zeta^4 & \zeta \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta(1-\lambda^2) & -\lambda\overline{\zeta^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\kappa_1 \geq \ldots \geq \kappa_4$ be the partial indices of $-\overline{G_1^{-1}}G_1$, and let Λ be the diagonal matrix with entries $\zeta^{\kappa_1}, \ldots, \zeta^{\kappa_4}$. According to [8], there exists a smooth map $\Theta : \overline{\Delta} \to GL_4(\mathbb{C})$, where $GL_4(\mathbb{C})$

denotes the general linear group, holomorphic on Δ and such that on $\partial\Delta$

$$-\Theta\overline{G_1^{-1}}G_1 = \Lambda\overline{\Theta}.$$

We denoted by $l = (l_1, \dots, l_4)$ the last row of the matrix map Θ. In particular we obtain the following system

$$\begin{cases} l_1(\zeta) & = & \zeta^{\kappa_4}\overline{l_1(\zeta)} \\ 2\zeta l_1(\zeta) - \zeta^2 l_2(\zeta) & = & \zeta^{\kappa_4}\overline{l_2(\zeta)} \\ \lambda\zeta^4 l_3(\zeta) + \zeta(1-\lambda^2)l_4(\zeta) & = & \zeta^{\kappa_4}\overline{l_3(\zeta)} \\ \zeta l_3(\zeta) + \lambda\overline{\zeta^2} l_4(\zeta) & = & \zeta^{\kappa_4}\overline{l_4(\zeta)} \end{cases}$$

In case $l_1 \neq 0$ we get $\kappa_4 \geq 0$ by holomorphy of l_1. If $l_1 = 0$ the second line leads to $\kappa_4 \geq 0$ unless $l_2 = 0$. Now if $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = 0$ we also get $l_4 = 0$ by the third line which contradicts the fact that $\Theta(\zeta)$ is invertible. Finally, if $l_1 = l_2 = 0$, by holomorphy of l_3 and l_4, the third lines leads to $\kappa_4 \geq 0$. This proves that all partial indices are nonnegative.

Moreover the Maslov index $\kappa = \kappa_1 + \cdots + \kappa_4$ is the winding number of $\det(-\overline{G_1(\zeta)^{-1}}G_1(\zeta))$, that is

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2i\pi}\int_{b\Delta} \frac{\Big(\det(-\overline{G_1(\zeta)^{-1}}G_1(\zeta))\Big)'}{\det(-\overline{G_1(\zeta)^{-1}}G_1(\zeta)(\zeta))}\mathrm{d}\zeta = 4.$$

Finally, this proves that the set of lifts of stationary discs for $\partial\Omega_\lambda$ close to the lift $\boldsymbol{f}^0$ forms a smooth real manifold of dimension $\kappa + \dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}^4 = 8$. □

Remark 3.1. *The previous proof illustrates a discontinuous behaviour of (lifts of) stationary discs at $\lambda = 1$. More precisely, the previous method fails for $\lambda = 1$ since, in that case, one of the partial indices is -2. Note that M.-Y.Pang* [5] *already showed a discontinuous behaviour of extremal discs at $\lambda = 1$. Moreover, a direct computation shows that geodesics of Lemma 2.2 are stationary for $\partial\Omega$. It follows that the set of stationary discs for $\lambda = 1$ centered at the origin forms a variety of real dimension at least four. Recall that in the case of the unit ball, and so for $\lambda << 1$, such discs form a variety of real dimension three.*

REFERENCES

[1] Abate, M. *Iteration theory of holomorphic maps on taut manifolds*, Research and Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Complex Analysis and Geometry. Mediterranean Press, Rende, 1989. xvii+417 pp.

[2] Globevnik, J. *Perturbing analytic discs attached to maximal real submanifolds of* $\mathbb{C}^N$, Indag. Math. **7** (1996), 37-46.

[3] Kobayashi, S. *Hyperbolic complex spaces*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], **318**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. xiv+471 pp.

[4] Lempert, L. *La métrique de Kobayashi et la représentation des domaines sur la boule*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **109** (1981), 427-474.

[5] Pang, M.-Y. *Smoothness of the Kobayashi metric of nonconvex domains*, Internat. J. Math. **4** (1993), 953-987.

[6] Royden, H.L., Wong, P.M. *Carathéodory and Kobayashi metric on convex domains*, preprint (1983). See Royden, H.L., Wong, P.M., Krantz, S.G. *The Carathéodory and Kobayashi/Royden metrics by way of dual extremal problems*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **58** (2013), 1283-1298.

[7] Tumanov, A. *Extremal discs and the regularity of CR mappings in higher codimension*, Amer. J. Math. **123** (2001), 445-473.
[8] Vekua, N.P. *Systems of singular integral equations*, Noordhoff, Groningen (1967) 216 pp.
[9] Vesentini, E. *Complex geodesics*, Compositio Math. **44** (1981), 375-394.

Florian Bertrand
Department of Mathematics,
American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon
E-mail address: fb31@aub.edu.lb

Hervé Gaussier
Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IF, F-38000 Grenoble, France
E-mail address: herve.gaussier@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr